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1. Introduction

The Town of Sprague last revalued properties in 2005. Per Connecticut General Statute, values have been updated for October 1, 2012, seven years subsequent to the last valuation. The assessments reflect 70% of fair market or use value in the case of properties classified under Public Act 490. In addition to the update to values, approximately 50% of the properties in town were fully inspected. In addition, data mailers were sent to each property, so the property inventory can be considered current. These assessments will be the basis for the October 1, 2012 Grand List on which the July, 2013 real estate tax liability will be based.

The mathematical calculation involved in determining an individual's tax liability is a function of three key components: the taxable amount (assessed value less exemptions = taxable amount), the funding requirement of each taxing jurisdiction in which the property is located, and most importantly, the proportional taxable value of the property in relation to the taxable value of all properties (residential, agricultural, commercial, industrial, utilities, etc.) within each taxing jurisdiction.

While the real estate makes up a good part of the assessed value in the grand list, the motor vehicle and personal property contribute as well. Once the list is completed, the budget is set, determining the funding requirements for town services for the coming year, minus any revenue from sources other than the property tax. The mill rate is a function of the two:

\[
\text{Remaining Funding Requirement ÷ Net Assessed Value} = \text{Mill Rate}
\]

1.1 Identify the client

On January 25, 2011, the Northeast Connecticut Council of Governments (NECCOG) entered into a contract with Tyler Technologies for services relating to the revaluation of property within the borders of its member towns. On February 17, 2012, the First Amendment to this agreement was signed admitting the Town of Sprague as a member town. These articles of agreement are included in the Appendix.

1.2 Intended Use

The “Assessment Law & Procedure, 2008 Edition” advises us regarding a revaluation or reassessment as follows:

“In general, a countywide reassessment would involve the following action: a determination of market value including a review of recent transfers of real estate within the neighboring area, a visual inspection of the exterior appearance of the property in question, and a correlation of any other unique factors that may affect the valuation of the real estate. The assessor would correlate these factors and, through the use of his or
her expertise and training, arrive at an estimate of what the fair market value of the property would be.”

Therefore, a reassessment is a systematic analysis of all assessments. This analysis is intended to assure that assessments are at the stated uniform percentage of value as of the valuation date of the assessment roll upon which the assessments appear, as confirmed by statistical testing following mass appraisal industry standards.

The reassessment was undertaken to establish an equitable and scientific system of assessing property for taxation and has been conducted in compliance with Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) Standard 6: Mass Appraisal, Development and Reporting.

The services and recommendations of value performed for this appraisal assignment are intended for the exclusive use of the Sprague Assessor in establishing assessments for ad valorem tax purposes. Any use other than that stated above is not authorized nor intended. Most specifically excluded is an opinion of value for federally related real estate transactions or other mortgage lending purposes.

1.3 Effective Date of the Appraisal

The effective date of appraisal as to level of value is October 1, 2012. The effective date of appraisal as to parcel inventory is also October 1, 2012. The values and inventory are to be used as the basis for the October 1, 2012 grand list. The final list will reflect changes made to the assessments as a result of exemptions, new P.A. 490 classifications, and appeals. The signing of the list will occur in January of 2013.

Parcel inventory refers to the physical characteristics of the real estate as of the effective date of appraisal. This would include the characteristics of the land such as size, as well as the improvements to the land – homes, outbuildings, and other structures.

All Sprague real estate was appraised at fair market value at a value level as of October 1, 2012. Sales and other economic activity from April 1, 2010 through September 30, 2012 formed the predominance of market evidence.

1.4 Date of the Report

This report is dated October 30, 2012, in draft form. The final report was completed January 13, 2013.

1.5 Type and Definition of Value

The goal of the reassessment was to estimate "market value" for all real properties in Sprague according to Connecticut law. The International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) defines “market value” (IAAO’s “Standard on Ratio Studies,” Assessment Journal, Sept./Oct. 1999, p. 60) as follows. Market value is defined as “the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions.
requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

1. Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
2. Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their own best interests;
3. A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
4. Payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
5. The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale."

The valuation methods appropriate to the class of property were computed on a property-by-property basis. Due to a lack of sales and income and expense data available for analysis, reports were generated that provided the "replacement cost" method only.

The property valuation analyst conducted a number of studies to gain an understanding of the market dynamics at work in the town. The process began with collecting and verifying sales price and date for each property sale within a two-year period. Sales that were not "arms length" or were non-representative were noted and set aside. Valid sales were analyzed, however a lack of useable, representative sales prevented a meaningful sales comparison approach from being developed.

The second method used for estimating market value is called the "income approach." With this method the income and expense stream of a property is examined from an investor's point of view. The goal is to achieve a market value estimate by approximating what an informed investor would pay for the income stream associated with a particular piece of real estate. The income approach was applied as a supporting approach for commercial properties such as apartments, restaurants, shopping plazas, etc that submitted data. It was not used as the primary approach since there was inadequate supporting data for market rents, vacancy and expense rates upon which to model.

The third method for estimating probable selling price was to consider how much it would cost to provide a replacement building of similar condition, quality, and utility. Local construction costs were analyzed along with land sales to develop what is called the "replacement cost" method. This method is based on the premise that if land value is added to what it would cost to replace the building new, less an allowance for physical, functional, and economic depreciations, a rational estimate of market value can be obtained. Again, a mathematical model of this process was developed and calibrated to
market activity in Sprague. The so-called "cost approach" is the primary methodology for all classes of properties in Sprague.

1.6 Limiting Conditions

- The properties were assumed to be free of any and all liens and encumbrances. Each property has also been appraised as though under responsible ownership and competent management.

- Surveys of the assessed properties have not been provided. We have relied upon tax maps and other materials in the course of estimating physical dimensions and the acreage associated with assessed properties.

- We assume the utilization of the land and any improvements is located within the boundaries of the property described. It is assumed that there are no adverse easements or encroachments for any parcel that have not already been addressed in the mass appraisal.

- In the preparation of the mass appraisal, inspections have not been made for approximately 50% or the parcels of property included in this report, per contract. Inspections of the remaining 50% were for both the exterior and interior, when permitted. For properties without an interior inspection, it is assumed that the condition of the interior is similar to its exterior condition, unless the assessor has received additional information from qualified sources giving more specific detail about the interior condition.

- Property inspection dates will have ranged in time from both before and after the appraisal date. It is assumed that there has been no material change in condition from the latest property inspection, unless otherwise noted on individual property records retained in the assessor’s office.

- We assume that there are no hidden or unapparent conditions associated with the properties, subsoil, or structures, which would render the properties (land and/or improvements) more or less valuable.

- It is assumed that the properties and/or the landowners are in full compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental regulations and laws.

- It is assumed that all applicable zoning and use regulations have been complied with.

- It is assumed that all required licenses, certificates of occupancy, consents, or other instruments of legislative or administrative authority from any private, local, state, or national government entity have been obtained for any use on which the value opinions contained within this report are based.
• We have not been provided a hazardous condition’s report, nor are we qualified to
detect hazardous materials. Therefore, evidence of hazardous materials, which may
or may not be present on a property, was not observed. As a result, the final
opinion of value is predicated upon the assumption that there is no such material
on any of the properties that might result in a loss, or change in value.

• Information, estimates, and opinions furnished to the appraisers and incorporated
into the analysis and final report were obtained from sources assumed to be
reliable, and a reasonable effort has been made to verify such information.
However, no warranty is given for the reliability of this information.

• The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. We
have not made compliance surveys nor conducted a specific analysis of any
property to determine if it conforms to the various detailed requirements identified
in the ADA. It is possible that such a survey might identify nonconformity with one
or more ADA requirements, which could lead to a negative impact on the value of
the property(s). Because such a survey has not been requested and is beyond the
scope of this appraisal assignment, we did not take into consideration adherence or
non-adherence to ADA in the valuation of the properties addressed in this report.

• Possession of this report does not carry with it the right of reproduction, and
disclosure of this report is governed by the rules and regulations of the Office and
Policy and Management and is subject to jurisdictional exception and the laws of
the State of Connecticut.

1.7 Extraordinary Assumptions and/or Hypothetical Conditions
No extraordinary assumptions or hypothetical conditions apply.

1.8 Property Rights Appraised
Appraisals are based on fee simple ownership, even when other rights, such as leased-fee,
exist.
2. **Scope of Work**

Per the specifications of the Request for Proposal from NECCOG, fifty percent (50%) of the properties were subject to inspection, not including data mailer or building permit inspections. The process is described below, and in greater detail in the Data Collection Manuals included in the Appendix. In addition, data or property inventory mailers were mailed to each property owner, including those that were not inspected. The data mailer procedures are also described below and in detail in the Data Mailer Procedures which are included in the Appendix.

In addition to property data, economic data such as sales and income and expense information was gathered, analyzed and used to formulate valuation models that reflect the current market in Sprague. Once these models were developed and tested against the known sales, they were applied to the population of properties and reviewed in the field by a review appraiser certified by the State of Connecticut for reasonableness and consistency of model application. This review was done in accordance with the Final Review Procedures which are included in the Appendix.

**Data Collection - Property Data**

Data collection cards were printed for selected parcels from the Town’s IAS database. The computer-generated documents were then organized in work packs by map for field data collection activity and process control. Parcels within the work packs are sorted by street location.

In order to assign a value to vacant or improved land throughout the town, each parcel had its land type coded for description purposes. Land was allocated as follows:

- **Primary** – Buildable lot up to one acre
- **Secondary** – For parcels with excess road frontage
- **Excess** – Parcels with no frontage, or the land remaining after the primary lot is extracted
- **Waste** – Primarily wetlands, ledge or other topography that makes the land unusable for most purposes

A basic determination for unimproved land was whether or not the parcel was buildable. Topography, shape, size, road access, and legal permissibility were considered in this determination. The appraiser reviewed each parcel for these influences and coded the land accordingly. Zoning restrictions were also considered when valuing vacant parcels.

Influence factors and land grades were used for pond or riverfront, golf course or water views, location, traffic, minor topography, or access issues.

Per Sprague requirements, data for residential properties was collected on site unless the property was inaccessible, the occupants refused to cooperate, or the premises or occupants appeared dangerous or threatening. The following is a summary of collection procedures followed during the data collection process.
1. The data collector visually collected the land data, topographical data, utilities data, and street or road data.

2. For improved property, the data collector attempted to contact an adult occupant to seek permission to inspect the interior of the improvement and to measure the exterior.

3. If an occupant was present and permission granted, an interior inspection was made, and the improvement measurements were verified. When discrepancies were found, the exterior was measured.

4. If no interior inspection was possible, exterior data was verified and interior data was estimated.

5. The data collector also verified any auxiliary buildings and yard improvement data.

6. If an inspection was not allowed or possible, data was estimated on site from existing assessment records.

7. The data collector double checked all entries for completion and accuracy and proceeded to the next property.

8. A second call was made, on a different day as the initial call, for any property for which entry was not gained.

9. Upon completion of a work pack, the data collector pulled any incomplete records before returning it to the crew chief.

Data collection procedures for commercial and industrial properties were similar to those for residential properties. Exempt properties were also collected similarly based upon the type of improvement.

In addition to collecting land data, story height, exterior wall construction, additions, and other observable property characteristics, the following are examples of types of data that may have been noted for commercial properties: DBA (e.g. Dunkin’ Donuts), wall height, construction type and use (purpose of the building).

Callback letters were mailed to property owners for which entry was not gained and appointments set up to complete the interior inspection.

Tyler conducted comprehensive quality control procedures for all phases of the project, including field, office, and data entry audits.

The field supervisor performed a quality control audit of randomly selected parcels during data collection. All noted errors were corrected prior to returning the work pack to the office.
As field data collection, quality control, and error resolution were completed, work packs were then routed to data entry staff for encoding of all property characteristic data that had been modified or collected.

Data entry audits included omissions of required data elements, correct identification and mathematical closure of sketch components, and correlation of reasonableness of related features, such as the presence or absence of a basement or upper floors, if indicated elsewhere on the record. In other words, audits identified entries that didn’t make sense. All noted computer generated audit errors were first verified for possible data entry operator error and then returned to the data collector for error correction.

Quality control checks of the data entry were also performed to ensure the accurate posting of changes to the database.

Finally, all field data collection activity was subjected to a visual quality control field review performed by the review appraiser as part of the final review process. This review had the primary purpose of verifying quality grade, condition ratings, and neighborhood assignments.

Subsequent to the mailing of callback letters and the scheduling of appointments, a data mailer was sent to all property owners. Sample residential and commercial data mailers can be found in the Appendix.

Property owners were asked to review the information and mail the forms back to the company, or to request a formal inspection of the property. Results of the data mailer verification process were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Mailer Results</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mailed</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned by Property Owner</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returns as a Percent</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Mailer Statistics**

Corrections and additions were made after examination of the response per the approved procedures, which can be found in the Appendix.
Data Collection - Economic Data

Economic data to be used in analysis and valuation falls into three major categories: sales, construction costs, and rental property income and expense. The sales data was used in the analysis of the local market and in the construction of valuation models for residential property. Commercial, industrial, and apartment properties also use sales as part of the valuation process, but rely more heavily on the income approach to value, which utilizes rents and expenses. All properties are valued by the cost approach as either the primary or secondary approach and so the cost tables within the CAMA system required calibration to local construction costs.

Sales Data Collection: Sales were updated as they occurred. Changes included ownership changes, mailing address changes and splits and merges.

Some sales are not indicative of fair market value and need to be coded as such. For example, sales may be between relatives or former relatives, related companies or partners in business, represent a sale of a partial interest in the property, or include significant personal property or a business. In addition, sales of foreclosed properties are not considered arms-length transactions and were not included in the sales listing for state certification.

Upon receipt of sales data from the Town, the appraiser continued the review of the data through a process known as sales validation. Sales were reviewed for validity through field review, property owner or seller interviews, and the production and review of reports identifying sales that appeared to be extremes in their areas. Local knowledge provided by the Assessor was particularly helpful in this activity.

The process was designed to build a database of sales with proper validation codes for use in analysis at any point in time in the project.

Construction Costs: In order to apply the cost approach to value, the appraiser must estimate the replacement cost new (RCN) of improvements to the land before considering accrued depreciation and the addition of the land value. The CAMA system contains base cost tables for houses, all types of commercial buildings, outbuildings such as sheds and garages, and for amenities such as fireplaces and bathrooms. These base tables were calibrated to the Sprague market through a cost study.

Rental Property Income and Expense: Income and Expense statements collected for 2010 and 2011 by the Assessor’s office were reconstructed, stratified by use and the results analyzed to produce the income models used for this project. As this data is confidential, the analysis is not included in this documentation and is not available for public inspection.
2.1 Properties Appraised

A “parcel” was defined as a separate, tax map-designated, assessed lot, parcel, piece, or portion of real property. Each parcel requires its own appraisal.

Parcels are segregated by class (Apartment, Residential, Industrial, Utility, Commercial, and Exempt) and by detailed State Class. The parcel breakdown is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counts by State Class</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACCESSORY BLDGS ON RES LAND</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUTO REPAIR</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BANK BUILDINGS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BUS TRANSPORT FACILITIES</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHURCHES/SYNAGOGUES/TEMPLE</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMM UNDEVELOPABLE LAND</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMMERCIAL DEVELOPABLE LAN</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONDO COMMON LAND</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONDOMINIUM</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEVELOPABLE RESIDENTIAL LAND</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DISCOUNT/DEPT STORES</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FARM BUILDINGS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FIELD CROPS/HAY WHEAT</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FOUR FAMILY</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRATERNAL ORGANIZATIONS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GENERAL OFFICE BUILDINGS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GOLF COURSES</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HARDWARE STORES</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPABLE LAN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PA 490</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MANUFACTURING BUILDING</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOBILE HOME</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MULTI UNITS &gt;8 (APTS)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MULTIPLE DWELLINGS ON LOT</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MULTI-USE PRIME COMM USE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MUNICIPALITIES</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PASTURE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POSTAL SERVICE PROPERTY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POTENTIALLY DEV RESIDENTAL LAND</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Counts by State Class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRIVATE COLLEGES/SCHOOLS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTAURANTS/DRINKING ESTABS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETAIL/SERVICE CTRS &lt; 10000 SQ</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAND &amp; GRAVEL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERVICE STATIONS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGLE FAMILY</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE OF CT</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TELEPHONE EXCHANGE STATION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THREE FAMILY</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUCK CROPS/VEGETABLES</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWO FAMILY</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDEVELOPABLE RESIDENTAL LAND</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAREHOUSES</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The appraiser valued all parcels in Sprague including wholly exempt and partially exempt parcels. Parcels were identified with the assistance of tax maps and assessment roll cross-reference reports supplied by the Town.

### 2.2 Highest and Best Use Analysis

**Definition:** The highest and best use is considered to be that reasonable and probable use that supports the highest present value, as defined, as of the effective date of valuation. Alternatively, it would be that use, from among reasonably probable and legal alternative uses, found to be physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and which results in highest land value.

The definition immediately above applies specifically to the highest and best use of land. It is to be recognized that in cases where a site has existing improvements on it, the highest and best use may very well be determined to be different from the existing use. The existing use will continue, however, unless and until land value in its highest and best use exceeds the total value of the property in its existing use.

Implied within these definitions is the recognition that the determination of highest and best use results from the appraiser's judgment and analytical skill; i.e., that the use determined from analysis represents an opinion, not a fact to be found.
2.3 Preliminary Testing Results

At the outset of any reappraisal, preliminary testing is done to gauge the need for a reassessment. Since the State of Connecticut requires cyclical updates to assessments, the preliminary testing was used to guide the appraiser as to how the market had changed, i.e. how it affected different classes or property over that time span. The market captured for the 2005 revaluation was the steadily, but slowly increasing market just before the double digit year over year increases seen in 2006 and 2007. Subsequent to this peak came a market correction which saw values decrease as sharply as they had increased the year before. Changes in supply and demand affect the market value of property. As the demand in Sprague, and all across the country, fell, the supply of available properties increased. This led to a decrease in what an individual could get for his or her property in the open market.
3. **Land Valuation**

In making appraisals for property tax assessment purposes, it is necessary to establish separate values for land and for the improvements to the land. In actuality, the two are not separated and the final estimate of the property as a single unit must be given prime consideration. However, in arriving at that final estimate of value, aside from contractual and legal requirements, certain other advantages exist in making a separate estimate of value for the land.

- An estimate of land value is required in the application of the cost approach.

- An estimate of land value is required to be deducted from the total property selling price in order to derive indications of depreciation or loss in value through market data analysis. The equation is as follows:

  \[
  \text{Depreciation} = (\text{Reconstruction Cost New} + \text{Land Value}) - \text{(Selling Price)}
  \]

- Since land may or may not be used to its highest potential, the value of land may be completely independent of the existing improvements on the land. In a situation of economic misimprovements, the value of the land may be a good indicator of the value of the entire parcel.

### 3.1 Neighborhood Delineation

Delineation of valuation neighborhoods for residential and commercial properties is a key driver in the valuation of land, and can be defined as a study of forces or influences from outside which could be considered to have an effect on value.

A neighborhood is a geographic area exhibiting a high degree of homogeneity in economic amenities, land use, economic trends, and property characteristics such as quality, age, and condition. Neighborhoods are not characterized as good, average, poor, etc. They stand on their own merits of uniform composition.

Significant characteristics in defining neighborhoods included:

- **Physical boundaries**
  a. Natural – as rivers, streams, woods, etc.
  b. Manmade – as roads, railroads, corporations, lines, school district lines, etc.
- **Housing characteristics**: type, quality, age, and condition.
- **Type of occupancy**: As percentage of owner occupied, tenant occupied, vacant dwelling, etc.
- **Predominant land use and anticipated changes.**
- **Typical land size and land valuation.**

Neighborhood delineation included the following procedures:
1. A map delineating the neighborhoods as defined in 2007 was reviewed.
2. A thorough street-by-street tour of the Town was conducted.
3. Based on physical observation, neighborhood boundaries were confirmed or revised. The specific boundaries were determined by significant physical and/or economic changes from adjacent areas.
4. The established boundaries were posted to a master map.
5. Properties were updated using the new neighborhood assignments.

The residential neighborhoods became the basis for creation of values. Land prices were developed at the neighborhood level for application in the cost approach.

The summary result of this process was the delineation of 4 commercial and 4 residential valuation neighborhoods. Commercial neighborhoods were primarily delineated according to zoning (C1, C2, I or R). Residential neighborhoods tie closely to the borough borders: Baltic, Hanover and Versailles. Downtown Baltic was delineated into its own neighborhood due to its unique character. The land rates for one acre are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comm</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>101</th>
<th>102</th>
<th>103</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Model Specification and Calibration

- The primary methods for specifying the land models were the sales comparison approach and the land residual, or abstraction approach.
  - Sales comparison – A frequently used method in estimating the value of land is the comparable sales method, in which land values are derived from analyzing the selling prices of similar sites. There were eight vacant land sales between October 1, 2010 and October 1, 2012, however three of the sales were in the same subdivision between the same two parties on the same date. Also not included in the study were three separate sales of properties that are active and/or proposed sand and gravel operations.

In order to apply the comparable sales method, it is first necessary to establish a common unit of comparison. The unit used in the valuation of land in Sprague is **price per acre**. **Square foot tables were not loaded and will produce a zero value if used.**
The next step requires analysis of lot sales. The size of any buildable parcel of land was determined to be up to the one acre. The remainder of the lot was assigned as secondary, excess or waste, unless classified under PA490. Analysis of lot sales were used to determine the proper lot value for each neighborhood, when such sales were available.

Once the lot value is determined, analysis of sales of larger tracts follows. While the purpose of a reassessment is to estimate fair market value, maintaining equity between like properties is of equal importance. Both sold and unsold properties were formatted using the same procedure. This is the most recognized approach and should be used if ample sales are available. The steps in this approach are to gather the pertinent parcel data for sold properties and stratify them, at minimum, by neighborhood in order to develop appropriate rates for the area.

A copy of this analysis is included in the Appendix.

- Abstraction (or land residual) - Although it is preferable to use sales of unimproved lots for comparables, it is not always possible to do so. Older neighborhoods are not likely to yield a sufficient number of representative sales of unimproved lots to permit a valid analysis. In such cases, in order to arrive at an estimate of land values using the comparable sales approach, it is necessary to consider improved property sales and to estimate the portion of the selling price applicable to the structures, known as the land residual technique.

The land residual technique estimates the replacement cost of the buildings as of the date of the sale, the accrued depreciation, and deducts that amount from the replacement cost. This will result in the estimated selling price of the buildings, which can be deducted from the total selling price of the property to derive the portion of the selling price which can be allocated to the land. The equation is as follows:

\[
\text{(Selling Price of Property)} - \text{(Estimated Depreciated Value of Building)} = \text{Indication of Land Value}
\]

Land residual analysis was performed throughout the valuation phase as a check against the sales comparison approach. In this study, the sale price was adjusted to reflect the level of value of 95%. This analysis can be found in the Appendix.
3.3 Model Validation
Once the land models have been preliminarily established, they are continually tested against new sales data. These tests are typically in the form of ratio studies. Copies of the various ratio studies throughout the project can be found on the Town’s server. The final sales ratio study is included in the Appendix.

4. Cost Approach
With such a small dataset on which to base the valuation, the cost approach was the only viable approach available.

4.1 Model Specification and Calibration
In order to apply the cost approach to value, the appraiser must estimate the replacement cost new (RCN) of improvements to the land before considering accrued depreciation and the addition of the land value. The CAMA system contains base cost tables for houses, all types of commercial buildings, outbuildings such as sheds and garages, and for amenities such as fireplaces and bathrooms. These base tables were calibrated to the Sprague market through a cost study.

The cost study included testing of the CAMA tables by comparing the costs generated versus those using Marshall-Swift cost estimating service, a national reference for construction costs. From this source the unit prices as well as the schedule levels were adjusted to the Sprague market. Please see the summary for residential properties in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acct #</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Univers</th>
<th>M&amp;S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02553</td>
<td>139 Scotland Rd</td>
<td>Colonial</td>
<td>198,200</td>
<td>217,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02583</td>
<td>28 Noahs Way</td>
<td>Colonial</td>
<td>185,080</td>
<td>198,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02581</td>
<td>42 Noahs Way</td>
<td>Cape</td>
<td>175,410</td>
<td>180,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02585</td>
<td>14 Noahs Way</td>
<td>Cape</td>
<td>180,960</td>
<td>180,428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02582</td>
<td>30 Noahs Way</td>
<td>Colonial</td>
<td>190,460</td>
<td>202,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02042</td>
<td>18 Sunrise Dr</td>
<td>Colonial</td>
<td>164,200</td>
<td>183,339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Residential Cost Calibration Summary
Residential cost calibration samples used in this study, as well as commercial calibration samples can be found in the Appendix. These studies provide only a starting point for cost levels. The cost level must be further calibrated to the local market, as this data can vary greatly based on the supply and demand factors of the individual jurisdiction.
Once the RCN was determined, the appraiser analyzed the loss in value from replacement cost due to physical deterioration, economic obsolescence, and functional obsolescence. This analysis resulted in tables of percent good (the inverse of depreciation) based upon these factors.

Loss in value, also known as depreciation, is derived from three sources. An improvement, or building, begins to deteriorate from the day its construction is completed. Periodic rehabilitation, regular maintenance, and sometimes remodeling to another use can prolong the economic utility of most buildings. Those that have gotten more attention have a decreased loss in value from physical deterioration, and those neglected would have a significant loss in value.

While all buildings suffer some physical deterioration, some may also suffer functional obsolescence. This form of loss in value comes from a market-perceived defect within the property itself. An example of a building with functional obsolescence would be a four-bedroom house with only one bathroom. Functional obsolescence may be further defined as curable or incurable. In the instance of the four-bedroom house, its functional obsolescence may be cured by the addition of another bathroom. An example of incurable functional obsolescence would be a stone house built with walls so thick that the living area inside the home is significantly diminished.

Economic obsolescence is a loss in value due to factors outside of the property. Typical instances of economic obsolescence would be a dwelling's proximity to railroad tracks and abutting a fast food restaurant. As with functional obsolescence, economic obsolescence may be curable (the fast food restaurant closes) or incurable (the railroad is here to stay).

For most residential improvements, loss in value is displayed in a table using the improvement’s year built and its CDU (condition-desirability-utility) rating. Functional and economic depreciation was applied, when warranted, as a reduction to this rating. A house in normal condition for its age in a typical location within a typical neighborhood would likely get an “average” CDU rating and the corresponding percent good. A house in the same neighborhood, in the same condition that abutted the railroad tracks would likely have additional economic obsolescence applied as a result of its undesirable location by assigning a “fair” or “poor” CDU.

Depreciation tables were calibrated by deducting the estimated land value from the sale price of sample properties. The difference between the result and the replacement cost new equated to the loss in value, expressed as a percent good. A simple regression analysis based on sold properties’ indicated percent good, yielded several formula-based tables, the one with the most statistically acceptable results was selected. Some interpretation of the data is required as there are a limited number of sales with few, if
any, in excellent, very good, poor and very poor conditions. Consideration of cost to
cure was key to the development of the table for these conditions.

Loss in value on commercial improvements is handled in a similar manner, however the
actual depreciation tables used for commercial properties are based on the expected
life of the structure (i.e. table 50 = 50 year expected life). The physical and functional
ratings matrix indicates what CDU each combination of these two factors represents.

To obtain an indication of value by way of the cost approach, one may add the
replacement cost new, less loss in value for physical, functional, and economic factors to
the value of the land. This can be done upon the completion of the table calibration
process.

4.2 Model Validation

The model is validated through a series of tests, including a ratio study stratified by various
data elements including, living area, year built, neighborhood, style and date of sale. In
addition, consistent application of the model to unsold properties should also be
considered. This is done via an unsold test which measures the percent change from the
previous valuation for sold and unsold properties. Properties that have changed
significantly in the past year, those under use values, and exempts are excluded. Both of
these are included in the Appendix.

5. Analysis of Results

A number of statistics have been computed on the two strata and are presented in this section.
They have been computed according to the definitions and formulae described in the IAAO
standard. In performing the analysis the following factors are relevant:

- The following charts and statistics cover the certification period as required by the State
  of Connecticut of April 1, 2010 to October 1, 2012 and are adjusted for time. The time
  adjustment supporting documents are included in the Appendix.

- The sales were verified to ensure that they represent open market transactions.

There was no systematic trimming of outliers, although the IAAO standards would have allowed
this. “Trimming” is the arbitrary dropping of sales with extreme ratios on either end of the
spectrum of ratios. The only extreme ratios that were dropped were those sales that could not
be verified as arms-length transactions. In general, if a sale was verified as being an open
market transaction it was included in the study. The statistics are presented in the table below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number/Count</th>
<th>Median Assessment level</th>
<th>COD</th>
<th>PRD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Valid Sales</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAAO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90-110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improved Residential &amp; Farm</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAAO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90-110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAAO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90-110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vacant Land</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>108%</td>
<td>8.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAAO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90-110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sales Statistics by Class**

The study shows that both market value and accuracy standards were either achieved or exceeded.

The IAAO performance standards are summarized in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of property</th>
<th>Measure of central tendency</th>
<th>COD</th>
<th>PRD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single-family residential</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newer, more homogenous areas</td>
<td>0.90–1.10</td>
<td>10.0 or less</td>
<td>0.98–1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older, heterogeneous areas</td>
<td>0.90–1.10</td>
<td>15.0 or less</td>
<td>0.98–1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural residential and seasonal</td>
<td>0.90–1.10</td>
<td>20.0 or less</td>
<td>0.98–1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Income-producing properties**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larger, urban jurisdictions</td>
<td>0.90–1.10</td>
<td>15.0 or less</td>
<td>0.98–1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smaller, rural jurisdictions</td>
<td>0.90–1.10</td>
<td>20.0 or less</td>
<td>0.98–1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant land</td>
<td>0.90–1.10</td>
<td>20.0 or less</td>
<td>0.98–1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IAAO Performance Standards**

For residential properties the values meet the most stringent requirements for the newer, more homogenous homes even though it is fair to say that Sprague is not composed of newer homogenous areas.

**Representation:** Before drawing a conclusion regarding adherence to standards, we need to examine the question of representation for residential in particular. That is, do the sales used in the ratio studies (April 1, 2010 to October 1, 2012) represent the population of all properties? To do this we can examine a number of factors including size, year built, and quality grade. The comparisons are presented in the form of histograms that depict the metric of interest expressed as a percent of the total.

The first comparison is based on the square foot of living area.

The histogram illustrates that the size of the properties in the sales sample is similar to the size of the entire set of subject properties. The next comparison is for year built.
The histogram illustrates that the sales set includes a greater percentage of newer homes and homes built in the 20' 2000’s, also that homes built in the 30’s through 40’s sold less frequently. The remainder of the decades show a similar distribution of sales and subjects.

The final comparison we make is on quality grade. This is an interesting comparison because grade is one mechanism that can be used to “chase sales”. That is, grade can be adjusted up or down to cause the computed value to come close to the value as sold. Thus, if the distribution of grade for the sales was markedly different than that of the subject properties, there could be cause for concern.
Again, the distributions are quite similar providing assurance that the sales ratio analysis results are meaningful and representative of the population as a whole. It should be noted that much of the new construction falls in the B- grade, which explains the spike in that category.

**Regressivity:** Another question that arises is the treatment of high value vs. low value properties. The PRD (price related differential) statistic is intended to examine that question. If the PRD is above 1.03, this indicates that higher valued properties (e.g. waterfront homes) are being undervalued compared to the lower priced properties. The results of the study (PRD of 1.00 for residential) compare favorably to the standard. However, there are other more intuitive means of examining the question. One is a plot of the appraisal to sale ratio vs. selling price. If the plot is flat, it is indicative that there is no bias towards either the low value or high value properties.

![Ratio vs. Appraised](image)

The plot above is over the appraised value range of $0 - $400,000. It is quite flat over that interval.
A closer look at the range of $0 to $200,000 also indicates that ratio is constant over that range as well.

We conclude that the reassessment has met the IAAO standard for ratio studies.

6. Reconciliation
Considering the quantity and quality of data and the reliability of the various models as shown in the performance tests above, we have concluded that the cost approach is the best estimate of market value.

7. Public Disclosure
On November 30th assessment notices were mailed to each taxpaying property owner. The notice included both the prior and new assessments and a copy of the updated property record card was included. A sample of this letter is included in the Appendix.

Informal hearings were held between December 4th – 11th. As a result of these hearings, 49 properties were reviewed. Thirty-six resulted in a change in assessment.

On January 8th, owners of the 49 properties reviewed were mailed a new notice, along with the updated property record card.
8. Certification

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

__ the statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.

__ the reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professionally analyses, opinions, and conclusions.

__ I have no (or the specified) present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no (or the specified) personal interest with respect to the parties involved.

__ I have no bias with respect to any property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.

__ Any services regarding the subject performed by the appraiser within the three year period immediately preceding acceptance of the assignment, as an appraiser or in any other capacity is identified in the body of the report.

__ my engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.

__ my compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.

__ my analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

__ I have (or have not) made a personal inspection of the properties that are the subject of this report. (If more than one person signs the report, this certification must clearly specify which individuals did and which individuals did not make a personal inspection of the appraised property.)

__ no one provided significant mass appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification.

________________________________________
Melissa L. Baer, CCMAII, CAE
Sr. Project Supervisor
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10. Definitions

ad valorem tax - in reference to property, a tax based upon the value of the property.

Adaptive Estimation Procedure (AEP) - A computerized, iterative, self-referential procedure using properties for which sales prices are known to produce a model that can be used to value properties for which sales prices are not known. Also called "feedback".

additive model - A model in which the dependent variable is estimated by multiplying each independent variable by its coefficient and adding each product to a constant.

adjustments - Modifications in the reported value of a variable, such as sale price. For example, adjustments can be used to estimate market value in the sales comparison approach by modifications for differences between comparable and subject properties. Note: Adjustments are applied to the characteristics of the comparable properties in a particular sequence that depends on the method of adjustment selected.

algorithm - A computer-oriented, precisely defined set of steps that, if followed exactly, will produce a pre-specified result, for example, the solution to a problem.

amenities - in reference to property, the intangible benefits arising out of ownership; amenity value refers to the enhancement of value attributable to such amenities.

appraisal - an estimate, usually in written form, of the value of a specifically described property as of a specified date; may be used synonymously with valuation or appraised value.

appraisal schedules - any standardized schedules and tables used in conjunction with a revaluation program, such as replacement cost pricing schedules, depreciation tables, land depth tables, etc.

Appraiser - one who estimates value. More specifically, one who possesses the expertise to execute or direct the execution of an appraisal.

assessing - the act of valuing a property for the purpose of establishing a tax base.

assessment - the value of taxable property to which the tax rate is to be applied in order to compute the amount of taxes, may be used synonymously with assessed value, taxable value, and tax base.

Assessor - the administrator charged with the assessment of property for ad valorem taxes; his precise duties differ from state to state depending upon state statutes.

average deviation - in a distribution of values, the average amount of deviation of all the values from the mean value, equal to the total amount of deviation from the mean divided by the number of deviations. As applied to an assessment-to-sale ratio distribution, the average amount which all the ratios within the distribution deviate from the mean ratio.
**base price** - a value or unit rate established for a certain specified model, and subject to adjustments to account for variations between that particular model and the subject property under appraisement.

**binary variable** - (1) Binary variables are qualitative data items that have only two possibilities-yes or no (for example, corner location). (2) A variable for which only two values are possible, such as results from a yes-or-no question, for example, Does this building have any fireplaces? Used in some models to separate the influence of categorical variables. Also called a dichotomous variable or a dummy variable.

**calibration** - The process of estimating the coefficients in a mass appraisal model.

**coefficient** - (1) In a mathematical expression, a number or letter preceding and multiplying another quantity. For example, in the expression, 5X, 5 is the coefficient of X, and in the expression aY, a is the coefficient of Y. (2) A dimensionless statistic, useful as a measure of change or relationship; for example, correlation coefficient. See also coefficient of dispersion and coefficient of variation.

**coefficient of determination** – See R squared.

**coefficient of dispersion** – the average deviation of a group of numbers from the median expressed as a percentage of the median.

**coefficient of variation** – a standard statistical measure of the relative dispersion of the sample data about the mean of the data; the standard deviation expressed as a percentage of the mean.

**confidence interval** - a range of values, calculated from the sample observations, that are believed, with a particular probability, to contain the true population parameter (mean, median, COD). The confidence interval is not a measure of precision for the sample statistic or point estimate, but a measure of the precision of the sampling process (see reliability).

**confidence level** - the required degree of confidence in a statistical test or confidence interval; commonly 90, 95, or 99 percent. A 95 percent confidence interval would mean, for example, that one can be 95 percent confident that the population measure (such as the median or mean appraisal ratio) falls in the indicated range.

**continuous variable** - A variable for which it is conceivable that, given any two observed values, a value lying between them may occur. For example, temperature and finished living area are continuous variables; quality class and number of fireplaces are not.

**correlation** – A statistical phenomenon (and a technique for estimating its strength) whereby knowledge of one fact about a thing implies some knowledge of a second fact about that thing. For example, because the volume and weight of water are correlated, knowing that a quantity of water is one gallon also means knowing that its weight is eight and one-third pounds. Linear correlation, the kind most often encountered, means that an increase in one factor in some proportion (say, a doubling) changes the other in the same proportion. With curvilinear correlation, as between the radius and the area of a circle, this
is not true, despite the fact that the correlation may be very strong in the sense that knowledge of one fact tells you precisely the other fact. These are examples of variables perfectly correlated or nearly so; more often, correlation is only partial—for example, the correlation between the age and height of a child. The correlation coefficient gives the strength of the linear relationship between the two variables.

**correlation coefficient** - A statistic that characterizes two or more sets of numbers and, when squared and multiplied by 100, gives the percentage strength of the (linear) relationship between the two sets of numbers. For example, if the coefficient of correlation between measures of the height and weight of a group of people were 0.9, then one would deduce that knowing the height of someone (loosely speaking) would explain (or account for) 81 percent of the weight.

**correlation matrix** - The table of numbers used to display the correlation coefficients for each pair of variables when three or more variables are thought to be correlated.

**cosmetic comps** – A term that refers to a method of adjusting comps using the cost estimate or estimates (e.g. total value or land and building value). Comparability selection or weighting is done in the same manner as when the adjustments are derived from MRA or other method. This is not a true market method but can be quite useful when used as support for the cost approach and when there are an inadequate number of sales to develop adjustments.

**cost approach** - one of the three traditional approaches to determination of the value of a property; arrived at by estimating the value of the land, the replacement or reproduction cost new of the improvement, and the amount of accrued depreciation to the improvement. The estimated land value is then added to the estimated depreciated value of the improvements to arrive at the estimated property value. Also referred to as the "cost-to-market approach" to indicate that the value estimates are derived from market data abstraction and analysis.

**deed** - a written instrument which conveys in interest in real property. A quitclaim deed conveys the interest described therein without warranty of title. A trust deed conveys interest described therein to a trustee. A warranty deed conveys the interest described therein with the provisions that the freehold is guaranteed by the grantor, his heirs, or successors.

**dependent variable** - A variable, such as sale price, the value of which is predicted by the values of other variables, such as location and finished living area. Such a variable may be said to depend on the other (independent) variables.

**discrete variable** - A variable for which it is not conceivable that, given any two observed values, a value lying between them may occur. For example, the number of rooms in a house is a discrete variable, but the living area of the house is not. See also binary variable and continuous variable.
dispersion - The degree to which data are distributed either tightly or loosely around a measure of central tendency. Measures of dispersion include the average deviation, coefficient of dispersion, coefficient of variation, range, and standard deviation.

effective valuation date - in reference to a revaluation program, the date as of which the value estimate is applicable.

equalization program - a mass appraisal (or reappraisal) of all property within a given taxing jurisdiction with the goal of equalizing values in order to assure that each taxpayer is bearing only his fair share of the tax load; may be used synonymously with a revaluation program.

equity - in reference to property taxes, a condition in which the tax load is distributed fairly or equitably; opposite of inequity which refers to a condition characterized by an unfair or inequitable distribution of the tax burden. Inequity is a natural product of changing economic conditions which can only be effectively cured by periodic equalization programs. In reference to value, it is that value of the property remaining after deducting all liens and charges against it.

error - The difference between the actual value of a variable and the expected value of the variable exclusive of sampling problems. Errors may be positive or negative, although in common speech taking the absolute value of the errors is sometimes implied. In multiple regression analysis, the term "error" is often used loosely to mean residual.

Euclidean Distance Metric - A measure of distance between two points “as the crow flies.” In property valuation, it is used to find the nearest neighbor or similar property based on an index of dissimilarity between property location or attributes. When using multivariate selection, the squared difference is divided by the standard deviation of the variable so as to normalize the differences.

F test - a measure of what we are referring to when we speak of the “statistical significance” of the coefficient for a factor. The F statistic is a ratio of that part of the sum of the squares accounted for by the regression equation to the residual (that part of the sum of the squares not accounted for by the regression equation). The number of factors also is included in the calculation.

Goodness of Fit statistics - Statistics used in multiple regression analysis and other kinds of statistical modeling to express the amount, and hence the importance, of the errors or residuals for all the predicted and actual values of a variable.

hybrid model – A model that incorporates both additive and multiplicative components. See also additive model and multiplicative model.

intercept - Graphically, the point at which a line, such as a regression line, intersects the axis on which the dependent variable is represented; the value of the predicted variable when the value of all the other values in the model is zero; the constant.

iteration - One repetition or repeated cycle in a process of estimating values as close as
possible to actual values by repeated approximations. The results of each approximation are used in the next one.

**linear regression** - A kind of statistical analysis used to investigate whether a dependent variable and a set of one or more independent variables share a linear correlation and, if they do, to predict the value of the dependent variable on the basis of the values of the other variables. Regression analysis of one dependent variable and only one independent variable is called simple linear regression, but it is the word simple (not linear) that distinguishes it from multiple regression analysis with its multiple independent variables.

**market approach** - one of the three traditional approaches to determination of the value of a property; arrived at by compiling data on recently sold properties which are comparable to the subject property and adjusting their selling prices to account for variations in time, location, and property characteristics between the comps and the subject property.

**market value** - the price an informed and intelligent buyer, fully aware of the existence of competing properties, and not compelled to act, would be justified in paying for a particular property.

**mass appraisal** - appraisal of property on a mass scale - such as an entire community, generally for ad valorem tax purposes, using standardized appraisal techniques and procedures to accomplish uniform equitable valuations with a minimum of detail, within a limited time period, and at a limited cost...as opposed to a fee appraisal which is generally used to refer to a rather extensive, detailed appraisal of a single property or singularly used properties for a specified purpose.

**model** - For purposes of appraisal, a representation (in words or an equation) that explains the relationship between value or estimated sale price and variables representing factors of supply and demand.

**model calibration** - The development of adjustments, or coefficients based on market analysis, that identifies specific factors with an actual effect on market value.

**model specification** - The formal development of a model in a statement or equation, based on data analysis and appraisal theory.

**multicollinearity** - The phenomenon of two or more variables being correlated. If the two correlated variables are both independent variables (note that if they are correlated they are not truly independent in the relationship sense) used to predict the value of some other, dependent, variable, then modeling problems will arise. If the multicollinearity is perfect, the multiple regression algorithms simply will not work; if the multicollinearity is serious but imperfect, the coefficients generated by the algorithm will be individually meaningless (although the model as a whole may still be useful).

**multiplicative model** - A mathematical model in which the coefficients of independent variables serve as powers (exponents) to which the independent variables are raised or in
which independent variables themselves serve as exponents; the results are then multiplied to estimate the value of the dependent variable.

**multiple regression analysis** – A particular statistical technique, similar to correlation, used to analyze data in order to predict the value of one variable (the dependent variable), such as market value, from the known values of other variables (called "independent variables"), such as lot size, number of rooms, and so on. If only one independent variable is used, the procedure is called simple regression analysis and differs from correlation analysis only in that correlation measures the strength of relationship, whereas regression predicts the value of one variable from the value of the other.

**Neighborhood** - a geographical area exhibiting a high degree of homogeneity in residential amenities, land use, economic and social trends, and housing characteristics.

**outliers** - Observations that have unusual values, that is, they differ markedly from a measure of central tendency. Some outliers occur naturally; others are due to data errors.

**parcel** - piece of land held in one ownership.

**partial F test** - The partial F test has essentially the same significance as the F test, except that in this case we are trying to assess the significance of a single term in the model rather than all the terms taken together. The critical factor here is the difference or increase in the sum of the squares accounted for by the regression as a consequence of adding one term to the regression equation.

**partial correlation** – a measure of how closely the value of the independent variable or factor (such as number of full baths), is related to the dependent variable (usually the sales price). The dependent variable is adjusted so that all of the other factors in the model are "taken out" of the values in order for us to have a measure of how closely this particular variable is related to the remaining dependent variable. Mathematically, its maximum absolute value is one.

**property class** - a division of like properties generally defined by statutes and generally based upon their present use. The basis for establishing assessment ratios in a classified property assessment system.

**property record card** - a document specially designed to record and process specified property data; may serve as a source document, a processing form, and/or a permanent property record.

**qualitative variable** - Something that can be appreciated but not objectively reduced to an unambiguous scale. For example, view is a qualitative variable.

**quantitative variable** - Pertaining to the objective nature of some variable of interest, that is, something that can be measured or counted with little ambiguity. For example, number of bathrooms is a quantitative variable.

**R squared** - The R squared statistic (coefficient of determination) is a ratio related to how well the data (sales prices) are fit by the regression equation. It is equal to the complement
of the residual sum of squares divided by the total sum of squares corrected for the mean, where the total sum of squares is the sum of the squares of the sales prices minus their mean value, and the residual sum of squares is the sum of the squares of the differences between the actual sales prices and those predicted by the regression equation. It is apparent that the closer R squared is to 1, the better we have fit the data.

**real estate** - the physical land and appurtenances affixed thereto; often used synonymously with real property.

**real property** - all the interests, benefits, and rights enjoyed by the ownership of the real estate.

**reconciliation** - The final step in the valuation process wherein consideration is given to the relative strengths and weaknesses of the three approaches to value, the nature of the property appraised, and the quantity and quality of available data in formation of an overall opinion of value (either a single point estimate or a range of value). Also termed "correlation" in some texts.

**regression coefficient** - The coefficient calculated by the regression algorithm for the data supplied that, when multiplied by the value of the variable with which it is associated, will predict (for simple regression) or help to predict (for multiple regression) the value of the dependent variable. For example, in the equation, Value = $10,000 + $5,000 + number of rooms, $5,000 is a regression coefficient.

**regression line** - The line on a graph that represents the relationship defined by the regression coefficients. For example, the line from the relationship given in the definition of regression coefficient would cross the y-axis at the value $10,000 and would go up $5,000 for each movement of 1 to the right. This example illustrates one of the subtleties required in understanding regression analysis: in fact, there is no line, because the independent variable is not a continuous variable, but it is easier to talk about the relationship by pretending that the variable is continuous and represent the relationship by a line rather than the more nearly correct series of vertical bars on a bar chart.

**residual** - The difference between an observed value and a predicted value for a dependent variable.

**sales file** - A file of sales data.

**sales ratio study** - a statistical analysis of the distribution of assessment or appraisal-to-sale ratios of a sample of recent sales, made for the purpose of drawing inferences regarding the entire population of parcels from which the sample was abstracted.

**slope** - The change in the dependent variable associated with a change of one in the independent variable of interest. The slope is given by the coefficient of the independent variable.

**standard deviation** – the statistic calculated from a set of numbers by subtracting the mean from each value and squaring the remainders, adding together all the squares, dividing by
the size of the sample less one, and taking the square root of the result. When the data are normally distributed, one can calculate the percentage of observations within any number of standard deviations of the mean from normal probability tables.

**standard error** - a measure of the precision of a measure of central tendency; the smaller the standard error, the more reliable the measure of central tendency. Standard errors are used in calculating a confidence interval about the arithmetic mean and the weighted mean.

**standard error of the estimate** - The square root of the mean square residual gives us the standard error of the estimate. In this application, this number gives us an idea of the average amount by which the regression equation “misses” the actual sales prices. In a somewhat more precise statistical sense, we expect that roughly 2/3 of the regression estimates should be within one standard error (either high or low) of the actual sales price. In general, the smaller this number is the better. As we noted, this not only takes account of how well the data are fit, but also of how efficiently (using the smallest number of factors) we have performed the fit.

**step-wise regression analysis** - A kind of multiple regression analysis in which the independent variables enter the model, and leave it if appropriate, one by one according to their ability to improve the equation's power to predict the value of the dependent variable.

**subjective data** - Subjective data are items for which the proper value is a matter of judgment and more difficult to verify. Examples include construction class, condition, effective year built, neighborhood desirability, and view.

**uniformity** - as applied to assessing, A condition wherein all properties are assessed at the same ratio to market value, or other standard of value depending upon the particular assessing practices followed.

**use value** - the actual value of a commodity to a specific owner, as opposed to its value in exchange or market value.

**variable** – an item of observation that can assume various values, for example, square feet, sales price or sales ratios.

**variance** – a measure of dispersion equal to the standard deviation squared.

**view** - the scene as viewed from a property.